UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

LAWRENCE M. FALLAT, DPM,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 08-14875

v

HON. LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF

CRYOMED, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Defendant.

David L. Steinberg (P32247)
THAV, GROSS, STEINWAY & BENNETT, P.C.
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
30150 Telegraph Road, Suite 444
Bingham Farms, MI 48025
(248) 645-1700
dsteinberg@thavgross.com

Thomas E. Anderson (P32564)
Mark D. Schneider (P55253)
GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON &
CITKOWSKI, P.C.
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330
Troy, MI 48084
(248) 647-6000
tanderson@patlaw.com
mschneider@patlaw.com

Steve J. Sielatycki (P66751) LAW OFFICE OF STEVE SIELATYCKI Counsel for Defendant 516 Whites Road, Suite 4 Kalamazoo, MI 49008 (269) 978-2525 sielatycki@gmail.com

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Defendant Cryomed, LLC ("Defendant" or "Cryomed"), by and through its attorney, Steve J. Sielatycki, states for its Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction as follows:

2:08-cv-14875-LPZ-MKM Doc # 14 Filed 04/10/09 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 139

Defendant is a manufacturer of cryosurgical devices used by hospitals to treat neuromas

in addition to a myriad of other medical techniques. Such cryosurgical devices and techniques

have been used in the medical field for over 20 years. Apparently, Plaintiff believes it has

acquired a patent covering these obvious techniques that date back to well before Plaintiff's

alleged "invention" of the techniques. Yet this patent is certainly invalid.

Plaintiff now asks this Court to grant a preliminary injunction despite the fact that, as the

Court has already ruled, "adequate remedies exist at law." Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for

Temporary Restraining Order, at 4. Despite this, Plaintiff asks this Court for a drastic remedy,

specifically, the cessation of production and distribution of medical treatment devices that are

used by hospitals and doctors throughout the country to treat patients using a myriad of medical

techniques that are wholly unrelated to Plaintiff's alleged patent.

In light of these facts, and for the reasons articulated below, Defendant respectfully

requests that this Court deny Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICE OF STEVE SIELATYCKI

Dated: April 10, 2009

By: _s/Steve Sielatycki_

Steve J. Sielatycki (P66751)

Attorney for Defendant

BUSINESS ADDRESS:

516 Whites Road, Suite 4

Kalamazoo, MI 49008

(269) 978-2525

sielatycki@gmail.com

2